Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/049,472	NAGASE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leslie A. Royds	1614
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Leslie A. Royds</u> .	(3)	
(2) Office of Daniel Christenbury.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 February 2006	Time: <u>AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: None.		
Prior art documents discussed:  None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Office of Applicant's representative confirmed that the applicant		T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a record of the substance of	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Hallie Brible 18 FEBOD		
(Examiner/SPE \$Ignature) (Applica	int/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)